

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------------|-------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/637,140 | | 08/08/2003 | Kazuaki Mino | 33394US1 | 4481 | |
| 116 | 7590 | 10/23/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| PEARNE & | | | IP, SII | IP, SIKYIN | | |
| 1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| CLEVELAN | D, OH | 44114-3108 | 1742 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/637,140 | MINO, KAZUAKI | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Sikyin Ip | 1742 | |

| | | Sikyin ip | 1/42 | |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE RE | PLY FILED 10 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | RALLOWANCE. | |
| th pl a | ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or one is application, applicant must timely file one of the followaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) 🔀 b) 🗀 | The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN |
| have bee under 37 set forth may red | ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exercise (CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laterace any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) EOF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| fili a | ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comping the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed MENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since |
| | | but minute the date of filing a brief | ط المصموم مطاعم الأنب | |
| (a | the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (c | They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | | the issues for |
| • |) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| | he amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| | applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | |
| no | lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a on-allowable claim(s). | · | • | |
| — ho | or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) by the new or amended claims would be rejected is properties that so the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: laim(s) allowed: | | II be entered and an e | explanation of |
| C | laim(s) objected to: laim(s) rejected: <u>6-8</u> . | | | |
| | laim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. 🔲 TI be | NIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but the applicant failed to provide a showing of good an assume as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| er sh | ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| REQUE | The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration. | | | |
| | pecause: of reasons set forth in the Final rejection . | | | |
| - | Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | _ | • |
| | Other: | (| (2 | <u>•</u> |
| | | | SIKYIN PRIMARY E | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration